**Oral Presentation Rubric: Service Learning Presentation**

Group Members: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **CONTENT & ORGANIZATION** | Reflective of notebook with extra anecdotal information included. Organization makes sense & augments audience understanding. | Reflective of all aspects of notebook. Organization makes sense & does not distract audience from understanding the project. | Reflective of most aspects of notebook. Organization is at least chronological but does not augment the understanding and may distract it. | Reflective of less than 60% of the notebook. Organization is unclear & distracts from understanding of the project. |
| **PREPAREDNESS** | Each member is completely prepared & had obviously rehearsed his/her part. It is evident that the group has practiced together. | 2 of 3 members or 1 of 2 members are prepared & the others are pretty prepared (but could have used extra practice). The group could have used more practice together. | All members are somewhat prepared or 1/3 members is fully prepared & the others are not. It is clear that the group did not rehearse together. | All members seem unprepared to present. There is no evidence that the group attempted to rehearse together at all. |
| **TIME LIMIT** | Presentation is a minimum of 7 minutes & no more than 10, including questions. | Presentation is at least 6 minutes. | Presentation is at least 5 minutes. | Presentation is less than 5 minutes OR more than 10 minutes long. |
| **CLARITY OF SPEECH AND IDEAS** | All members speak clearly & distinctly all the time (95-100%). All words are pronounced correctly, sentences are clear & organized - it is clear that words have been intentionally chosen or scripted to best communicate the message. | Most members speak clearly & distinctly all the time (2 of 3 or 1 or 2). One or 2 words may be mispronounced or 1-2 sentences may be jumbled. It seems that words have been chosen intentionally most of the time. | Members speak clearly & distinctly **most** of the time OR 1 of the members is more clear/distinct than the others. Some mispronunciations, some sentence discontinuity. It is not clear that words were intentionally chosen for best communication. | Most members do not speak clearly & distinctly (mumbling, soft voices). Presentation is punctuated with mispronunciations, jumbled sentences that undermine understanding. No evidence that words and sentences were intentionally chosen or scripted. |
| **INTEREST AND ORIGINALITY** | The presentation generates a strong interest & enthusiasm in the audience thru such things as facial expressions & body language. It is unique and original. | The presentation generates interest & enthusiasm in the audience thru such things as facial expressions & body language. The presentation is engaging though it may lack originality. | The presentation generates little interest & enthusiasm. The presentation is interesting but not engaging. | The presentation is essentially not engaging for the audience. Audience response may reflect respectful behavior but not interest. |
| **PROFESSIONALISM**  **Attire, posture, eye contact, language,** | All members: business attire, very professional; stand up straight, look relaxed and confident; establish eye contact with multiple people during the presentation; professional language. | Most members (2/3 or ½): business attire (though some in casual business); stand up straight, look relaxed and confident; establish eye contact with multiple people; professional language. | 2 of 3 or ½ members in causal business attire & w/ sneakers or wrinkled; 1 or more members slouches or struggles to make eye contact. Some language is casual or trendy rather than professional. | Most members’ attire not appropriate for audience (jeans, t-shirts); slouch or do not make eye contact during the presentation; language may be unprofessional. |
| **PRESENTATION** | Presentation is smooth & polished, flows all of the time. Includes all required elements. | Presentation is mostly smooth & polished but has moments where is does not flow or seems stilted or confused. Includes all required elements. | Presentation makes an attempt at smoothness but lacks polish and flow. It is stilted or confused and distracts from understanding. One required element is missing. | There is no evidence of smoothness, polish, or flow. More than 2 required elements are missing. |
| **TEAM PARTICIPATION** | All group members take an active, equitable role. There is evidence that each had a specific role in the project and did their part. | All group members present equally. Some evidence of specific roles and that each person did their part. | Inequality in presentations. Minimal evidence of specific projects roles and/or shared workload. | No evidence of equality in presentation or work load. |

Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Student Evaluator Oral Presentation Rubric: Service Learning Presentation**

**PROJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Project Understanding** | I clearly understood the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I sort of understood the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I struggled to understand the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I did not understand how this project was service learning. |
| **Presentation Interest**  Regardless of my interest in the topic… | …this presentation was very interesting and engaging. Wow! | …this presentation was sort of interesting and engaging. Good job! | …this presentation was not very interesting. Sigh. | …this presentation was boring. YAWN! |
| **Professionalism**  \*Posture  \*Eye Contact  \*Word choice  \*Confident | The presenters were very professional in their appearance, language, and presentation style. | The presenters were mostly professional in their appearance, language & presentation style, but may have been lacking in one area. | The presenters were pretty casual in their appearance, language & presentation style. | The presenters made no attempt at professionalism. |
| **Overall Quality** | **EXCELLENT** | **PRETTY GOOD** | **AVERAGE** | **NEEDS WORK** |

One thing I thought was great about this project was: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

One question I had about this project was: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**PROJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Project Understanding** | I clearly understood the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I sort of understood the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I struggled to understand the purpose of this project and how it met a community need. | I did not understand how this project was service learning. |
| **Presentation Interest**  Regardless of my interest in the topic… | …this presentation was very interesting and engaging. Wow! | …this presentation was sort of interesting and engaging. Good job! | …this presentation was not very interesting. Sigh. | …this presentation was boring. YAWN! |
| **Professionalism**  \*Posture  \*Eye Contact  \*Word choice  \*Confident | The presenters were very professional in their appearance, language, and presentation style. | The presenters were mostly professional in their appearance, language & presentation style, but may have been lacking in one area. | The presenters were pretty casual in their appearance, language & presentation style. | The presenters made no attempt at professionalism. |
| **Overall Quality of this Presentation** | **EXCELLENT** | **PRETTY GOOD** | **AVERAGE** | **NEEDS WORK** |

One thing I thought was great about this project was: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

One question I had about this project was: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_